

1 Craig A. Marvinney, 0004951 (OH)
2 John M. Skeriotis, 0069263 (OH)
3 Jill A. Bautista, 0075560 (OH)
4 BROUSE McDOWELL
5 388 S. Main Street, Suite 500
6 Akron, Ohio 44311-4407
7 Telephone: 330-535-5711
Email: cmarvinney@brouse.com,
jskeriotis@brouse.com,
jbautista@brouse.com

Admitted pro hac vice

9 Donald L. Myles, Jr., 007464 (AZ)
10 JONES, SKELTON & HOCHULI, P.L.C.
11 2901 N. Central Ave., Suite 800
12 Phoenix, Arizona 85012
Telephone: 602-263-1700
Email: dmyles@jshfirm.com

13 Attorneys for Defendant/Counterclaimant
Midwest Industrial Supply, Inc.

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
IN AND FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

17 SOILWORKS, LLC, an Arizona corporation,

NO.: 2:06-CV-2141-DGC

18 Plaintiff / Counterdefendant /
19 Counterclaimant,

20 || V

21 MIDWEST INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY,
22 INC., an Ohio corporation authorized to do
business in Arizona,

23 Defendant / Counterclaimant /
Counterdefendant.

**MIDWEST INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY,
INC.'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO
BAR TESTIMONY AND EVIDENCE
REGARDING THE COMPOSITION
OF DURASOIL AND PLAINTIFF'S
OTHER PRODUCTS**

24 | INTRODUCTION

Defendant Midwest Industrial Supply, Inc. ("Midwest") moves this Court for an order, *in limine*, barring Plaintiff Soilworks, LLC ("Plaintiff") from introducing any

1 evidence or testimony regarding the composition of Durasoil and Plaintiff's other
2 products, outside of the evidence Plaintiff produced during discovery.
3

4 **II. ARGUMENT**

5 Rule 26(e) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure requires a party who has
6 responded to an interrogatory, request for production, or request for admission to
7 supplement or correct its disclosure or response. Rule 37(c)(1) of the Federal Rules of
8 Civil Procedure provides that a party's failure to disclose information required by rule
9 26(e), without substantial justification, bars use of that evidence at trial or in any motion
10 unless such failure is harmless. *See also, Zhang v. American Gem Seafoods, Inc.*, 339
11 F.3d 1020, 1027 (9th Cir. 2003); *Yeti by Molly, Ltd. v. Deckers Outdoor Corp.*, 259 F.3d
12 1101, 1106 (9th Cir. 2001); *E.E.O.C. v. GLC Restaurants, Inc.*, Case No. CV05-618,
13 2007 WL 30269 (D.Ariz. 2007) (excluding evidence based on Fed.R.Civ.P. 37(c)).
14

15 In Midwest Industrial Supply, Inc.'s First Set of Interrogatories, Midwest
16 requested Plaintiff to:
17

18 13. Identify, with specificity (e.g., chemical composition, chemical
19 process), what makes Plaintiff's Durasoil Product "ultra pure" and a
20 "synthetic organic fluid."

21 In response to Midwest's request, Plaintiff argued that the interrogatory was premature
22 and that Plaintiff would later provide documents responsive to this interrogatory.
23 Plaintiff never provided the responsive documents or supplemented its original response.
24

25 In another interrogatory, Midwest requested Plaintiff to:

26 14. Identify all ingredients (including, but not limited to, "proprietary"
27 as listed in Section 2 of Plaintiff's MSDS sheet shown on Plaintiff's
website, <http://www.durasoil.com/msds.php>), and the percentage
28

1 composition of all ingredients of the Durasoil and Soiltac products and any
2 products identified in response to Interrogatory No. 6

3 Plaintiff again argued that the interrogatory was premature and that it would later produce
4 responsive documents. Plaintiff later produced a document that listed three ingredients
5 for Durasoil with no set percentage composition for the three ingredients. Plaintiff has
6 not provided any other documents regarding the ingredients or composition of Durasoil
7 or Plaintiff's other products and Plaintiff has not supplemented or revised its initial
8 response to Midwest's interrogatory. Therefore, Plaintiff should be barred from
9 introducing evidence or testimony of the ingredients or composition of any of its products
10 other than the previously produced list of three ingredients for Durasoil.
11

12 This is exactly the type of information that requires a party to supplement its
13 original responses under Rule 26(e). Because Plaintiff has failed to supplement its
14 original response, Midwest will be unduly prejudiced if this motion *in limine* is not
15 granted and Plaintiff is allowed to introduce evidence that was not previously disclosed to
16 Midwest.
17

19 **III. CONCLUSION**

20 For the foregoing reasons, the Court should enter an order precluding Plaintiff
21 from presenting any evidence or testimony, outside of the previously produced list of
22 ingredients for Durasoil, regarding the ingredients or composition of Plaintiff's various
23 products.
24

25

26

27

28

Respectfully Submitted

By: /s/ Jill A. Bautista

Craig A. Marvinney, 0004951 (OH)

John M. Skeriotis, 0069263 (OH)

Jill A. Bautista, 0075560 (OH)

BROUSE MCDOWELL

388 S. Main Street, Suite 500

Akron, Ohio 44311-4407

Telephone: 330-535-5711

Faxsimile: 330-253-8601

Email: cmaryinney@brouse.com

iskeriotis@hrouse.com

jbautista@brouse.com

Admitted pro hac vice

Donald L. Myles, Jr. 007464 (AZ)

JONES SKELTON & HOCHULI P.L.C.

JONES, SKELETON & HOGGS
2901 N Central Ave Suite 800

2901 N. Central Ave., S.
Phoenix Arizona 85012

Telephone: 602-263-1700

Telephone: 602-263-1784
Facsimile: 602-263-1784

Email: dmyles@ishfirm.com

Attorneys for Defendant/Counter

1

2 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

3

4 The undersigned hereby certifies that a copy of the foregoing **MIDWEST**
5 **INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY, INC.'S MOTION IN LIMINE TO BAR TESTIMONY AND**
6 **EVIDENCE REGARDING THE COMPOSITION OF DURASOIL AND**
7 **PLAINTIFF'S OTHER PRODUCTS** has been electronically filed on this 17th day of
8 September, 2008. Notice of this filing will be sent to all parties by operation of the Court's
9 electronic filing system. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system.

10

11

12 /s/ Jill A. Bautista
13 Jill A. Bautista

14

15 727305

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28